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GOALS AND AIMS

✓ Experimental evaluation and forecasts, until 2200, about local sea level rise (LSLR) and its impacts on Salento coastal groundwater

✓ Quantification of seawater intrusion advancement in coastal fractured aquifer, using soil digital elevation model (ArcGIS)

✓ A new formula to evaluate groundwater outflow reduction, as a consequence of seawater intrusion, is presented
• Absence of relevant surface water reservoir.
• Agriculture is the main economic activity in Apulia Region.
• Average rainfall < 600 mm/y: natural recharge is unable to refill groundwater sufficiently with respect to agricultural and drinking water demand.
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SCENARIO UNTIL 2200

- Maximum coastline advancement derived from soil digital elevation model analyses
- 40-600 m

BEST FIT CONSTANTS
- $C_{so} = 1.54 \text{ g/L}$
- $A_s = 12.02 \text{ g/L}$
- $D_s = 592.65 \text{ m}$

PARAMETERS
- $C_{salt}$ salt concentration in well
- $d$ distance between well and Ghyben-Herzberg interface

\[
C_{salt} = C_{s0} + A_s \left[ \exp \left( - \frac{d}{D_s} \right) \right]
\]
1. **Flux-controlled system**: groundwater discharge to the sea is persistent despite changes in sea level.

2. **Head-controlled system**: groundwater abstraction or surface features preserve the aquifer head condition despite sea level change.

3. **Other models**

**PILOT AREA CHARACTERISTICS**
- High limestone rock permeability (60-700 m/d)
- Low coast elevation
- General water table inability to migrate vertically. Confined aquifer
- Low LSLR compared to the aquifer thickness

The piezometric head \( \Phi_0 \) is assumed to be constant at a specific distance from the coastline \([\text{the origin } x = 0 \rightarrow \Phi = \Phi_0]\), despite 2m of LSLR.

GROUNDWATER FLOW MODEL

• Fractured aquifer was idealized in a layered model made by several horizontal fractures bounded by impermeable rocks.

• **Assumptions:** inside fractures, freshwater flows in a horizontal direction (Dupruit assumption); all fractures were assumed to have hydraulic connections between themselves and to have the same mean aperture $2b_i$ [L].

$K_1, K_2, K_3$ hydraulic conductivity of each single fracture belonging to the modelled parallel set

$N_f$ total number of fractures belonging to the modelled parallel set
Groundwater discharge per unit of seacoast length $Q_0$ [$L^3/t/L$] derives from the Navier-Stokes’ equations flow solution, in a single fracture bounded by two parallel plates, in a confined aquifer.

$$Q(x) = \frac{2b_i}{3} \frac{\gamma_f}{\mu_f} n H(x) \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial x} = \text{const} = Q_0$$

(Eq.1) $Q(x)$

Must be constant due to continuity

$2b_i$ Mean fracture aperture [L]

$\frac{\gamma_f}{\mu_f}$ Freshwater density/viscosity ratio = $10^7$ m$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ at 20 °C

$n$ Effective aquifer porosity [-]

$x$ Coordinate along the fracture length towards sea direction [L]

$H(x)$ Depth of the sharp interface below sea level [L] (i.e., freshwater thickness)

$\phi(x)$ Piezometric head of freshwater in $x$ direction [L]

$K = \frac{b_i^2 \gamma_f}{3 \mu_f} n$

Sum of all horizontal apertures in the vertical aquifer column [L]

$$n = \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} 2b_i$$

Aquifer thickness [L]
GHYBEN-HERZBERG THEORY for stationary interface leads to

\[ H(x) = \Phi(x) \frac{\gamma_f}{\gamma_s - \gamma_f} = \delta \gamma \Phi(x) \quad \rightarrow \quad \Phi(x) = \frac{H(x)}{\delta \gamma} \]

Replacing \( K \) and \( \Phi(x) \) in Eq. 1:

\[ Q_0 \times \partial x = -K \frac{H(x)}{\delta \gamma} \partial H(x) \quad \text{(Eq.2)} \]

Integrating Eq.2:

\[ X = 0 \rightarrow \Phi(x) = \Phi_0 \rightarrow H = B \]
\[ X = L \rightarrow \Phi(L) = \delta \gamma \Phi(s) \rightarrow H = H_s \]

\[ Q_0 \times L = K \frac{B^2 - H_s^2}{2\delta \gamma} = K \frac{(\delta \gamma \Phi_0)^2 - H_s^2}{2\delta \gamma} \quad \text{(Eq.3)} \]

\( L \) is the minimum extension required to avoid seawater intrusion
Modelled distance between the origin \((\Phi = \Phi_0)\) and the coastline \((\Phi = 0)\):

\[ \text{Ld} = L \rightarrow \text{groundwater outflow overlaps the coastline, no seawater intrusion} \]

\[ \text{Ld} < L \rightarrow \text{inland freshwater outflow, coastal saline lakes formation and seawater intrusion (L-Ld)} \]

\[ \text{Ld} > L \rightarrow \text{submarine springs} \]

\((L-Ld)\) represents the seawater intrusion due to LSLR, according to local coast morphology.
Defining:

\[ Q_0 \] groundwater outflow when seawater intrusion in absent \( \rightarrow L=L_d \)

Eq.3 becomes

\[ Q_0 = K \frac{B^2 - H_s^2}{2\delta_y L_d} \]

\[ Q \] groundwater outflow when seawater intrusion is present \( \rightarrow L>L_d \)

Eq.3 becomes

\[ L_i = K \frac{B^2 - H_s^2}{2\delta_y Q} - L_d > 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad Q = K \frac{B^2 - H_s^2}{2\delta_y (L_i + L_d)} \]

Difference between \( Q_0 \) and \( Q \) is the **GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE REDUCTION DUE TO LSLR**

(SEA ADVANCEMENT IS \( L_i = L-L_d \))

\[ \Delta Q = Q_0 - Q = Q_0 - K \frac{B^2 - H_s^2}{2\delta_y (L_i + L_d)} \]  

(Eq.4)
\[ \Delta Q = Q_0 - Q = Q_0 - K \frac{B^2 - H_s^2}{2\delta \gamma (L_i + L_d)} \]  

(Eq.4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean value related to specific sea coast length</th>
<th>Bari</th>
<th>Brindisi</th>
<th>Lecce</th>
<th>Taranto</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(K) (m/s)</td>
<td>3.7 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>3.7 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>8.0 \times 10^{-3}</td>
<td>8.0 \times 10^{-4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) (m)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L) (m)</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>1357</td>
<td>3280</td>
<td>2690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_d) (m)</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_i) (m)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Phi_0) (m)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coastline length (m)</td>
<td>53600</td>
<td>60060</td>
<td>126630</td>
<td>85840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_0) (m^3/s/m)</td>
<td>1.1 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.1 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.9 \times 10^{-5}</td>
<td>1.2 \times 10^{-6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Delta Q) (m^3/s/m)</td>
<td>1.8 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>1.0 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>2.8 \times 10^{-6}</td>
<td>8.4 \times 10^{-8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discharge reduction (Mm^3/year)</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% GROUNDWATER AVAILABILITY REDUCTION WITH RESPECT TO CURRENT DRINKING SUPPLY</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>11.9%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IN THE SALENTO PENINSULA, THE TOTAL GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE REDUCTION MAY REACH 15-16% OF THE CURRENT GROUNDWATER DRINKING SUPPLY

SCENARIO UNTIL 2200

9.7% (-79 l/s)
3.2% (-77 l/s)
1.2% (-9.7 l/s)
11.9% (-293 l/s)
CONCLUSIONS

- The new proposed formula is useful to evaluate the groundwater discharge reduction due to seawater intrusion.

- In the Salento peninsula, 2m LSLR will produce a groundwater availability reduction of about 16% with respect to the current drinking supply.

- The groundwater availability reduction does not take into account quality impairment due to seawater intrusion.

- LSLR impacts on groundwater discharge reduction depend on coast morphology and its elevation.

- The head-controlled system assumption (Φ₀ is constant at specific distance from coastline, despite 2m of LSLR) leads to approximate solutions.

- In the near future, the goal will be to make plans and to build a physical model to validate the model, also, in high cliff areas.